Report Title:	LGA Corporate Peer Challenge: Action Plan Progress
Contains	No - Part I
Confidential or	
Exempt Information	
Cabinet Member:	Cllr Johnson, Leader of the Council
Meeting and Date:	Cabinet - 25 August 2022
Responsible	Emma Duncan, Monitoring Officer and Deputy
Officer(s):	Director of Law, Strategy and Public Health
	Becky Hatch, Head of Strategy
Wards affected:	All



### REPORT SUMMARY

This report updates Members on the progress on the Action Plan resulting from the recommendations of the Local Government Association (LGA) Corporate Peer Challenge, which took place from 24 – 27 January, 2022. The Royal Borough invited the LGA into the council to conduct the review, in order to provide an external assessment of its progress, and recommendations for further improvement. Their assessment and recommendations were set out in the LGA Corporate Peer Challenge Feedback Report.

Cabinet considered the recommendations in March 2022 and agreed to accept the 11 recommendations subject to minor amendments and agreed to the preparation of an Action Plan (Table 1).

This report details the progress to date against the recommendations made.

## 1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATION(S)

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

### That Cabinet notes the report and the progress against the Action Plan.

### **Table 1: Action Plan Progress**

RECOMMENDATION	ACTIONS	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
Recommendation1	Launch Citizens' Portal	Head of	120422	$\checkmark$
Prioritise embedding the Corporate Plan across the Council and the establishment of a new performance framework which links service plans and priorities to budget and risks over the		Strategy		Citizens Portal went live in April 2022
medium term.	Agree Terms of Reference for new Officer-led Performance and Risk Management Board (PRMB)	Head of Strategy	050522	✓ These have been agreed

	PRMB meet	Head of Strategy	050522	$\checkmark$
	Embed new methodology to support performance reporting to Overview and Scrutiny	Service Lead – Strategic Policy	250722	$\checkmark$
	Launch new Service Plan template	Service Lead – Strategic Policy	030522	$\checkmark$
	Embed initial Service Plans into InPhase	Service Lead – Strategic Policy	310722	$\checkmark$
RECOMMENDATION	ACTIONS	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
Recommendation 2 Refresh the Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) with stronger links to the savings made by the Transformation Strategy and underpinned by the creation of a Transformation Fund to deliver the benefits needed. The first priority of the strategy should be to improve the customer experience.	Refreshed MTFS aligned to Corporate Plan presented to Corporate O and S Panel for review	Exec Director of Resources/H ead of Finance	22/06/22	✓ Presented to committee and suggested changes accepted by cabinet at their meeting
	Refreshed MTFS/MTFP reported to Cabinet Refreshed MTFS/MTFP reported to Full Council	Exec Director of Resources/H ead of Finance	21/07/22 28/09/22	MTFS and MTFP recommen ded by cabinet. Will be considered at next scheduled Full council in September
RECOMMENDATION	ACTIONS	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
Recommendation 3 Establish a Member development programme, including a new induction package for May 2023 which aligns to the strategic priorities of the Royal Borough. Group Leaders need to be fully involved in developing the programme to ensure ongoing	Discussion with LGA over support offer	Director of Governance/ Head of Governance	1305022	~

member participation,				
throughout the term of office.				
	Establish Officer working	Head of	Μον	
	Establish Officer working		May 2022	$\checkmark$
	group to lead Induction Process	Governance	2022	
	Officer Working Group meets	Head of	July to	$\checkmark$
	to discuss proposals	Governance	Decembe	
			r 2022	
	Funding Agreed	Head of	Budget	
		Governance	process	
	Induction Programme	Head of	080523	
	commences	Governance		
RECOMMENDATION	ACTIONS	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
Recommendation 4	Evaluate software for	Director of	010722	$\checkmark$
Put in place stronger support for	Member casework	Governance/		-
member casework that provides		Head of		
consistency and timeliness of		Governance		
response across all council				
functions. This will help members				
to carry out their ward work more				
efficiently and maintain residents'				
confidence that their issues are				
being dealt with.				
	Review undertaken of	Chief	010722	$\checkmark$
	Corporate support function	Executive		
	and restructure implemented			
	Funding Agreed for additional	Head of	Budget	
	L support (caseworkers and	Governance	nrococc/i	
	support (caseworkers and	Governance	process/i	
	software costs)	Governance	n year	
			•	
	software costs)		n year growth	
	software costs) Role descriptions developed	Head of	n year growth August	
	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated	Head of Governance	n year growth August 2022	
	software costs) Role descriptions developed	Head of	n year growth August	
	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated Recruitment	Head of Governance Head of	n year growth August 2022 Sept/Oct 2022	
	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated	Head of Governance Head of Governance	n year growth August 2022 Sept/Oct	
RECOMMENDATION	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated Recruitment	Head of Governance Head of Governance Head of	n year growth August 2022 Sept/Oct 2022 Nov/Dec	Complete
RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 5	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated Recruitment Implementation ACTIONS	Head of Governance Head of Governance Head of Governance	n year growth August 2022 Sept/Oct 2022 Nov/Dec 2022	Complete
	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated Recruitment Implementation	Head of Governance Head of Governance Head of Governance LEAD	n year growth August 2022 Sept/Oct 2022 Nov/Dec 2022 Milestone	Complete ✓
Recommendation 5	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated Recruitment Implementation ACTIONS Report prepared for	Head of Governance Head of Governance Head of Governance LEAD Head of	n year growth August 2022 Sept/Oct 2022 Nov/Dec 2022 Milestone	Complete ✓
<b>Recommendation 5</b> Review the current model of	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated Recruitment Implementation ACTIONS Report prepared for	Head of Governance Head of Governance Head of Governance LEAD Head of	n year growth August 2022 Sept/Oct 2022 Nov/Dec 2022 Milestone	Complete ✓
<b>Recommendation 5</b> Review the current model of scrutiny committees. There are	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated Recruitment Implementation ACTIONS Report prepared for	Head of Governance Head of Governance Head of Governance LEAD Head of	n year growth August 2022 Sept/Oct 2022 Nov/Dec 2022 Milestone	Complete ✓
<b>Recommendation 5</b> Review the current model of scrutiny committees. There are currently 4 scrutiny panels and	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated Recruitment Implementation ACTIONS Report prepared for	Head of Governance Head of Governance Head of Governance LEAD Head of	n year growth August 2022 Sept/Oct 2022 Nov/Dec 2022 Milestone	Complete ✓
Recommendation 5 Review the current model of scrutiny committees. There are currently 4 scrutiny panels and one county-wide health scrutiny.	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated Recruitment Implementation ACTIONS Report prepared for	Head of Governance Head of Governance Head of Governance LEAD Head of	n year growth August 2022 Sept/Oct 2022 Nov/Dec 2022 Milestone	Complete ✓
<b>Recommendation 5</b> Review the current model of scrutiny committees. There are currently 4 scrutiny panels and one county-wide health scrutiny. It may be better for the	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated Recruitment Implementation ACTIONS Report prepared for	Head of Governance Head of Governance Head of Governance LEAD Head of	n year growth August 2022 Sept/Oct 2022 Nov/Dec 2022 Milestone	Complete ✓
Recommendation 5 Review the current model of scrutiny committees. There are currently 4 scrutiny panels and one county-wide health scrutiny. It may be better for the committees to be more closely	software costs) Role descriptions developed and evaluated Recruitment Implementation ACTIONS Report prepared for	Head of Governance Head of Governance Head of Governance LEAD Head of	n year growth August 2022 Sept/Oct 2022 Nov/Dec 2022 Milestone	Complete ✓

people, place and corporate				
functions.				
	Constitution Working Group	Head of	030522	$\checkmark$
	meet to consider and agree	Governance		·
	Report			
	Full Council consider and	Head of	240522	$\checkmark$
	agree recommendations from	Governance		·
	CWG			
RECOMMENDATION	ACTIONS	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
Recommendation 6	Scoping work to clarify	Head of	June 2022	$\checkmark$
Revisit the terms of reference and	current position and identify	Governance		
remit of the joint Health Overview	best practice			
and Scrutiny Committee for East				
Berkshire as part of the				
establishment of the ICS.	Non-stimulation of the sector of the	llesd of	h.h. 2022	
	Meeting with relevant officers	Head of	July 2022	$\checkmark$
	to agree recommendations for amendments to terms of	Governance		
	reference where appropriate	Head of	0 at 2022	
	Amendments discussed with		Oct 2022	
	Constitution Working Group	Governance		
	Full Council consider	Head of	Nov 2022	
	recommendations from CWG	Governance	1000 2022	
		Governance		
RECOMMENDATION	ACTIONS	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 7	ACTIONS That this is accepted in part,	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
		LEAD	Milestone	Complete
Recommendation 7	That this is accepted in part,	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
<b>Recommendation 7</b> Review Cabinet portfolios so that	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
<b>Recommendation 7</b> Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
<b>Recommendation 7</b> Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review.	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
<b>Recommendation 7</b> Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and national level alongside local	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review.	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
<b>Recommendation 7</b> Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review.	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
<b>Recommendation 7</b> Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and national level alongside local place leadership responsibilities.	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action			
Recommendation 7 Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and national level alongside local place leadership responsibilities. RECOMMENDATION	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
Recommendation 7 Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and national level alongside local place leadership responsibilities. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 8	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance	LEAD Head of		
Recommendation 7 Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and national level alongside local place leadership responsibilities. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 8 Develop a clear and consistent	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance regimes in one place and	LEAD	Milestone	
Recommendation 7 Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and national level alongside local place leadership responsibilities. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 8 Develop a clear and consistent framework on the role and	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance	LEAD Head of	Milestone	
Recommendation 7 Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and national level alongside local place leadership responsibilities. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 8 Develop a clear and consistent framework on the role and governance of the arms-	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance regimes in one place and publish.	LEAD Head of	Milestone	
Recommendation 7Review Cabinet portfolios so thatthey are re-balanced acrosspeople, place and corporatefunctions to enable more capacityto influence at a sub-regional andnational level alongside localplace leadership responsibilities.RECOMMENDATIONRecommendation 8Develop a clear and consistentframework on the role andgovernance of the arms-length Council entities including	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance regimes in one place and publish. Work is currently being	LEAD Head of	Milestone	
Recommendation 7 Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and national level alongside local place leadership responsibilities. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 8 Develop a clear and consistent framework on the role and governance of the arms- length Council entities including Optalis, AFC and the Property	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance regimes in one place and publish.	LEAD Head of	Milestone	
Recommendation 7Review Cabinet portfolios so thatthey are re-balanced acrosspeople, place and corporatefunctions to enable more capacityto influence at a sub-regional andnational level alongside localplace leadership responsibilities.RECOMMENDATIONRecommendation 8Develop a clear and consistentframework on the role andgovernance of the arms-length Council entities includingOptalis, AFC and the PropertyCompany. Shareholder	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance regimes in one place and publish. Work is currently being	LEAD Head of	Milestone	
Recommendation 7Review Cabinet portfolios so thatthey are re-balanced acrosspeople, place and corporatefunctions to enable more capacityto influence at a sub-regional andnational level alongside localplace leadership responsibilities.RECOMMENDATIONRecommendation 8Develop a clear and consistentframework on the role andgovernance of the arms-length Council entities includingOptalis, AFC and the PropertyCompany. Shareholderresponsibilities should be	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance regimes in one place and publish. Work is currently being	LEAD Head of	Milestone	
Recommendation 7 Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and national level alongside local place leadership responsibilities. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 8 Develop a clear and consistent framework on the role and governance of the arms- length Council entities including Optalis, AFC and the Property Company. Shareholder responsibilities should be separated from those of the	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance regimes in one place and publish. Work is currently being	LEAD Head of	Milestone	
Recommendation 7Review Cabinet portfolios so thatthey are re-balanced acrosspeople, place and corporatefunctions to enable more capacityto influence at a sub-regional andnational level alongside localplace leadership responsibilities.RECOMMENDATIONRecommendation 8Develop a clear and consistentframework on the role andgovernance of the arms-length Council entities includingOptalis, AFC and the PropertyCompany. Shareholderresponsibilities should beseparated from those of thestrategic client.	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance regimes in one place and publish. Work is currently being undertaken to produce this.	LEAD Head of Governance	Milestone Sept 2022	Complete
Recommendation 7 Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and national level alongside local place leadership responsibilities. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 8 Develop a clear and consistent framework on the role and governance of the arms- length Council entities including Optalis, AFC and the Property Company. Shareholder responsibilities should be separated from those of the strategic client. RECOMMENDATION	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance regimes in one place and publish. Work is currently being undertaken to produce this. ACTIONS	LEAD Head of Governance	Milestone	
Recommendation 7Review Cabinet portfolios so thatthey are re-balanced acrosspeople, place and corporatefunctions to enable more capacityto influence at a sub-regional andnational level alongside localplace leadership responsibilities.RECOMMENDATIONRecommendation 8Develop a clear and consistentframework on the role andgovernance of the arms-length Council entities includingOptalis, AFC and the PropertyCompany. Shareholderresponsibilities should beseparated from those of thestrategic client.RECOMMENDATION	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance regimes in one place and publish. Work is currently being undertaken to produce this. ACTIONS Draft engagement framework	LEAD Head of Governance	Milestone Sept 2022	Complete
Recommendation 7 Review Cabinet portfolios so that they are re-balanced across people, place and corporate functions to enable more capacity to influence at a sub-regional and national level alongside local place leadership responsibilities. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 8 Develop a clear and consistent framework on the role and governance of the arms- length Council entities including Optalis, AFC and the Property Company. Shareholder responsibilities should be separated from those of the strategic client. RECOMMENDATION	That this is accepted in part, noting changes have been made since the Peer Review. No Further Officer Action ACTIONS Draw together governance regimes in one place and publish. Work is currently being undertaken to produce this. ACTIONS	LEAD Head of Governance	Milestone Sept 2022	Complete

and community groups which promotes greater subsidiarity of decision making and thus enabling RBWM to be more strategic.	the framework for engagement between the council, residents, communities and partners (including voluntary & community sector and parish councils). Draft produced Consultation on draft Adoption The early phase development work on the engagement strategy has started.		Sept 2022 Oct/Nov 2022 Feb 2023	
RECOMMENDATION	ACTIONS	LEAD	Milestone	Complete
Recommendation 10	Youth Council has been	Chief	October	
<b>Recommendation 10</b> Take advantage of the 25th	Youth Council has been engaged and has agreed to	Chief Executive	October 2022 (tbc)	<u>_</u>
				$\checkmark$
Take advantage of the 25th	engaged and has agreed to			$\checkmark$
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary	engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in			<b>√</b>
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth	engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in			~
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth Council and partners to set out a	engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in partnership with Council.			<b>√</b>
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth Council and partners to set out a new 25-year vision for the Royal	engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in partnership with Council. The Youth Council will report	Executive		✓
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth Council and partners to set out a new 25-year vision for the Royal Borough. RECOMMENDATION	engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in partnership with Council. The Youth Council will report back the end of the calendar year. ACTIONS	Executive		Complete
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth Council and partners to set out a new 25-year vision for the Royal Borough. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 11	<ul> <li>engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in partnership with Council.</li> <li>The Youth Council will report back the end of the calendar year.</li> <li>ACTIONS</li> <li>The Improvement Plan is now</li> </ul>	Executive LEAD Director of	2022 (tbc)	Complete
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth Council and partners to set out a new 25-year vision for the Royal Borough. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 11 Once the improvement plan for	<ul> <li>engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in partnership with Council.</li> <li>The Youth Council will report back the end of the calendar year.</li> <li>ACTIONS</li> <li>The Improvement Plan is now operational and subject to</li> </ul>	Executive	2022 (tbc)	Complete
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth Council and partners to set out a new 25-year vision for the Royal Borough. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 11 Once the improvement plan for the Planning function is in place	<ul> <li>engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in partnership with Council.</li> <li>The Youth Council will report back the end of the calendar year.</li> <li>ACTIONS</li> <li>The Improvement Plan is now</li> </ul>	Executive LEAD Director of	2022 (tbc)	Complete
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth Council and partners to set out a new 25-year vision for the Royal Borough. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 11 Once the improvement plan for the Planning function is in place and beginning to have an impact,	engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in partnership with Council. The Youth Council will report back the end of the calendar year. ACTIONS The Improvement Plan is now operational and subject to monthly and quarterly review.	Executive LEAD Director of	2022 (tbc)	Complete
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth Council and partners to set out a new 25-year vision for the Royal Borough. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 11 Once the improvement plan for the Planning function is in place and beginning to have an impact, consider a peer review of the	<ul> <li>engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in partnership with Council.</li> <li>The Youth Council will report back the end of the calendar year.</li> <li>ACTIONS</li> <li>The Improvement Plan is now operational and subject to monthly and quarterly review.</li> <li>Peer Review is likely to be</li> </ul>	Executive LEAD Director of	2022 (tbc)	Complete
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth Council and partners to set out a new 25-year vision for the Royal Borough. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 11 Once the improvement plan for the Planning function is in place and beginning to have an impact, consider a peer review of the Planning Service to drive	engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in partnership with Council. The Youth Council will report back the end of the calendar year. ACTIONS The Improvement Plan is now operational and subject to monthly and quarterly review.	Executive LEAD Director of	2022 (tbc)	Complete
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth Council and partners to set out a new 25-year vision for the Royal Borough. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 11 Once the improvement plan for the Planning function is in place and beginning to have an impact, consider a peer review of the Planning Service to drive continuous improvement in	<ul> <li>engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in partnership with Council.</li> <li>The Youth Council will report back the end of the calendar year.</li> <li>ACTIONS</li> <li>The Improvement Plan is now operational and subject to monthly and quarterly review.</li> <li>Peer Review is likely to be</li> </ul>	Executive LEAD Director of	2022 (tbc)	Complete
Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth Council and partners to set out a new 25-year vision for the Royal Borough. RECOMMENDATION Recommendation 11 Once the improvement plan for the Planning function is in place and beginning to have an impact, consider a peer review of the Planning Service to drive	<ul> <li>engaged and has agreed to undertake a piece of work in partnership with Council.</li> <li>The Youth Council will report back the end of the calendar year.</li> <li>ACTIONS</li> <li>The Improvement Plan is now operational and subject to monthly and quarterly review.</li> <li>Peer Review is likely to be</li> </ul>	Executive LEAD Director of	2022 (tbc)	Complete

# 2. REASON(S) FOR RECOMMENDATION(S) AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED

## Options

# Table 2: Options arising from this report

Option	Comments
Cabinet agrees to note the Action Plan	This is the recommended
progress.	option

Option	Comments
Cabinet doesn't agree to note the Action	The Royal Borough invited the
Plan.	LGA into the council to assess
	our progress and make
	recommendations for further
	improvements. Failing to accept
	progress against the
	recommendations of the Peer
	Review team, would call into
	question the purpose of the
	review, and show the council in a
	poor light with the LGA.

### 1. KEY IMPLICATIONS

### LGA Corporate Peer Challenge: background

- 1.1 The Corporate Peer Challenge is part of the LGA sector support offer. It provides independent and external improvement support and challenge through a peer review, conducted by a team of Members and Senior Officers from other local authorities.
- 1.2 The review is a tried, and trusted method of improvement and provides a practitioner perspective and critical friend challenge. The Royal Borough invited in the LGA to conduct the review, to provide an assessment of its progress and recommendations for further improvement. It was delivered at no cost to the council.

1.3 The 2022 review was a Corporate Peer Challenge and covered five key areas:

- Local priorities and outcomes;
- Organisational and place leadership;
- Governance and culture;
- Financial planning and management;
- Capacity for improvement.
- 1.4 The 2022 Peer Review follows a prior review in 2017, and a briefer progress review in 2019. The results of all three reviews are published on our website.
- 1.5 The 2022 review was a mix of face to face, online and desk-based research. The team undertook interviews and focus groups with a wide range of Members, officers, stakeholders and citizens, speaking to nearly 100 people in total. The team also observed several key officer and Member meetings and visited sites within the borough. They also reviewed key documents and a self-assessment by the council. The findings from the review were developed into feedback and recommendations, which were shared through a presentation to those participating in the review, and are now set out in the Feedback Report.

- 1.6 The LGA will return to the Royal Borough in October 2022, to review progress.
- 1.7

### **Table 3: Key Implications**

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceeded	Date of delivery
The council agrees the LGA recommendation s and takes these forward through a robust Action Plan.	The council makes poor progress in responding to the recommen dations	LGA six month review concludes that good progress has been made in delivering the recommendatio ns	LGA six month review concludes that excellent progress has been made in delivering the recommendatio ns	Autumn 2022

### 2. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

- 2.1 The financial implications of taking forward the LGA Corporate Peer Challenge recommendations will be considered as part of the budget process.
- 2.2 At this stage, there are no financial implications of taking the decisions recommended in this report. However, the potential implications of some recommendations, such as setting up a new Transformation Fund, should be noted as part of the discussion.

## 3. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 There are no legal implications associated with this report. However, any changes to governance, such as to Cabinet Portfolios or Scrutiny Committee structures will have been in accordance with constitutional regulations.

### 4. RISK MANAGEMENT

Risk	Level of uncontrolled risk	Controls	Level of controlled risk
LGA are dissatisfied with the council's response to the review.	Low	Ongoing engagement with the LGA and maintenance of existing strong relationships.	Low

### Table 4: Impact of risk and mitigation

## 5. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

5.1 Equalities. The LGA Corporate Peer Challenge Feedback report highlights equalities as an area for further development and strengthening within the council. This report has no equalities implications.

- 5.2 Climate change/sustainability. The LGA Corporate Peer Challenge Feedback report praises the priority given to climate change within the council and includes suggestions for further strengthening this agenda.
- 5.3Data Protection/GDPR. There are no data protection issues associated with this report.

### 6. CONSULTATION

8.1 The process of undertaking the LGA Corporate Peer Challenge involved interviews with a wide range of Members, staff, stakeholders and citizens, in order to assess the council and generate its findings and recommendations. The initial findings of the review were shared with all who participated on 2 February 2022, with opportunities provided for feedback and comment.

### 7. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

7.1 The implementation stages are set out in table 5.

Table 5. Implementation timetable		
Date	Details	
20 October 2022	Six month follow up visit from the LGA, to assess progress on delivering the recommendations of the Report.	

Table 5: Implementation timetable

### 8. APPENDICES

8.1 This report is supported by 0 appendixes

### 9. CONSULTATION

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent	Date returned
Mandatory:	Statutory Officers (or deputies)		
Adele Taylor	Executive Director of Resources/S151 Officer	28/07/22	28/07/22
Emma Duncan	Deputy Director of Law and Strategy / Monitoring Officer	Author	
Deputies:			
Andrew Vallance	Head of Finance (Deputy S151 Officer)	28/7/22	28/7/22
Elaine Browne	Head of Law (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	28/7/22	28/7/22
Karen Shepherd	Head of Governance (Deputy Monitoring Officer)	26/7/22	27/7/22
Other consultees:			
Directors (where relevant)			
Duncan Sharkey	Chief Executive	26/7/22	26/7/22

Andrew Durrant	Executive Director of Place	29/07/22
Kevin McDaniel	Executive Director of	
	Children's Services	
Heads of Service		
(where relevant)		
External (where		
relevant)		
Insert as		
appropriate or N/A		

Confirmation relevant Cabinet	Leader of the Council	Yes/No delete as appropriate
Member(s)		appropriato
consulted		

### **REPORT HISTORY**

Decision type:	Urgency item?	To follow item?		
Non-key decision	No	No		

Report Author: Emma Duncan, Monitoring Officer and Deputy Director of Law, Strategy and Public Health

## EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

## EqIA : LGA Corporate Peer Challenge - Action Plan Progress

### **Essential information**

Items to be assessed: (please mark 'x')

Strategy	Policy		Plan		Project			Service/P	rocedure	
Responsible offi	nma Duncan, ecky Hatch	Ser	vice area	Strategy		Direct	orate		₋aw, Strate -leath	gy & Public

Stage 1: EqIA Screening (mandatory)	Date created: 11/08/2022	Stage 2 : Full assessment (if applicable)	Date created : n/a

Approved by Head of Service / Overseeing group/body / Project Sponsor:

"I am satisfied that an equality impact has been undertaken adequately."

Signed by (print): Rebecca Hatch

Dated: 12/08/2022

## EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

## EqIA : LGA Corporate Peer Challenge - Action Plan Progress

### **Guidance notes**

#### What is an EqIA and why do we need to do it?

The Equality Act 2010 places a 'General Duty' on all public bodies to have 'due regard' to:

- Eliminating discrimination, harassment and victimisation and any other conduct prohibited under the Act.
- Advancing equality of opportunity between those with 'protected characteristics' and those without them.
- Fostering good relations between those with 'protected characteristics' and those without them.

EqlAs are a systematic way of taking equal opportunities into consideration when making a decision, and should be conducted when there is a new or reviewed strategy, policy, plan, project, service or procedure in order to determine whether there will likely be a detrimental and/or disproportionate impact on particular groups, including those within the workforce and customer/public groups. All completed EqIA Screenings are required to be publicly available on the council's website once they have been signed off by the relevant Head of Service or Strategic/Policy/Operational Group or Project Sponsor.

#### What are the "protected characteristics" under the law?

The following are protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010: age; disability (including physical, learning and mental health conditions); gender reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; sexual orientation.

#### What's the process for conducting an EqIA?

The process for conducting an EqIA is set out at the end of this document. In brief, a Screening Assessment should be conducted for every new or reviewed strategy, policy, plan, project, service or procedure and the outcome of the Screening Assessment will indicate whether a Full Assessment should be undertaken.

#### **Openness and transparency**

RBWM has a 'Specific Duty' to publish information about people affected by our policies and practices. Your completed assessment should be sent to the Strategy & Performance Team for publication to the RBWM website once it has been signed off by the relevant manager, and/or Strategic, Policy, or Operational Group. If your proposals are being made to Cabinet or any other Committee, please append a copy of your completed Screening or Full Assessment to your report.

#### Enforcement

Judicial review of an authority can be taken by any person, including the Equality and Human Rights Commission (EHRC) or a group of people, with an interest, in respect of alleged failure to comply with the general equality duty. Only the EHRC can enforce the specific duties. A failure to comply with the specific duties may however be used as evidence of a failure to comply with the general duty.

## EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

## EqIA : LGA Corporate Peer Challenge - Action Plan Progress

1.1 What is the overall aim of your proposed strategy/policy/project etc and what are its key objectives?

### LGA Corporate Peer Challenge: Action Plan Progress

The Royal Borough invited the LGA into the council to conduct a Corporate Peer Challenge review in January 2022, in order to provide an external assessment of its progress, and recommendations for further improvement. Their assessment and recommendations were set out in the LGA Corporate Peer Challenge Feedback Report. Cabinet considered the recommendations in March 2022 and agreed to accept the 11 recommendations subject to minor amendments and agreed to the preparation of an Action Plan. The Action Plan updates Members on the progress to date against the recommendations made. Recommendations with particular relevance to the Equalities have been summarised below:

#### Recommendation1

Prioritise embedding the Corporate Plan across the Council and the establishment of a new performance framework which links service plans and priorities to budget and risks over the medium term.

• The Corporate Plan contains a cross-cutting commitment to reducing inequalities and a range of specific goals focused on improving outcomes for people with protected characteristics. The council is currently revising its Equality objectives and undertaking an ambitious research project to strengthen our understanding of inequalities and disadvantage in the Borough. Revised equality objectives will be included in a refresh of the Corporate Plan by the end of 2022. This reflects feedback from the CPC Review team to strengthen our approach to Equalities, Diversity and Inclusion.

#### **Recommendation 3**

Establish a Member development programme, including a new induction package for May 2023 which aligns to the strategic priorities of the Royal Borough. Group Leaders need to be fully involved in developing the programme to ensure ongoing member participation, throughout the term of office.

• The Member development programme will include specific training on Equalities, Diversity and Inclusion.

## EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

# **EqIA : LGA Corporate Peer Challenge - Action Plan Progress**

#### **Recommendation 5**

Review the current model of scrutiny committees. There are currently 4 scrutiny panels and one county-wide health scrutiny. It may be better for the committees to be more closely aligned to the priorities in the Corporate Plan and service delivery arrangements covering people, place and corporate functions.

• Corporate Overview & Scrutiny will review progress on strengthening the council's approach to Equalities.

#### **Recommendation 9**

Develop a localism strategy with town and parish councils and community groups which promotes greater subsidiarity of decision making and thus enabling RBWM to be more strategic.

• Development of the strategy includes strengthening engagement with a range of groups representing the views and interests of groups with protected characteristics.

#### **Recommendation 10**

Take advantage of the 25th anniversary of being a unitary council to work with the Youth Council and partners to set out a new 25-year vision for the Royal Borough.

• The development of the vision, strengthens engagement with our Youth Council and ensures that young people's views and priorities are reflected within the council's long term vision.

### Stage 1 : Screening (Mandatory)

1.2 What evidence is available to suggest that your proposal could have an impact on people (including staff and customers) with protected characteristics? Consider each of the protected characteristics in turn and identify whether your proposal is Relevant or Not Relevant to that characteristic. If Relevant, please assess the level of impact as either High / Medium / Low and whether the impact is Positive (i.e. contributes to promoting equality or improving relations within an equality group) or Negative (i.e. could disadvantage them). Please document your evidence for each assessment you make, including a justification of why you may have identified the proposal as "Not Relevant".

# EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

# EqIA : LGA Corporate Peer Challenge - Action Plan Progress

Protected characteristics	Relevance	Level	Positive/negative	Evidence
All protected characteristics	Relevant		Positive	Increased focus on equality, diversity and inclusion across the council, through embedding of the Corporate Plan, Member induction, and strengthened engagement with stakeholder groups.
Age	Not relevant		Positive	Youth Council leading on the development of the 25 Year Vision, enhancing youth engagement and voice in the borough's vision and priority setting.
Disability	Not relevant			
Gender re- assignment	Not relevant			
Marriage/civil partnership	Not relevant			
Pregnancy and maternity	Not relevant			
Race	Not relevant			
Religion and belief				
	Not relevant			
Sex	Not relevant			
Sexual orientation	Not relevant			

Outcome, action and public reporting

## EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

## **EqIA : LGA Corporate Peer Challenge - Action Plan Progress**

Screening Assessment Outcome	Yes / No / Not at this stage	Further Action Required / Action to be taken	Responsible Officer and / or Lead Strategic Group	Timescale for Resolution of negative impact / Delivery of positive impact
Was a significant level of negative impact identified?	No			
Does the strategy, policy, plan etc require amendment to have a positive impact?	No			

If you answered **yes** to either / both of the questions above a Full Assessment is advisable and so please proceed to Stage 2. If you answered "No" or "Not at this Stage" to either / both of the questions above please consider any next steps that may be taken (e.g. monitor future impacts as part of implementation, rescreen the project at its next delivery milestone etc).